PROCEEDINGS
Kalijaga International Conference on Education 2026
Faculty of Tarbiyah and Teacher Training, UIN Sunan Kalijaga
Innovation Toward Education: Shaping the Future of Learning
15 September 2026 | Convention Hall, UIN Sunan Kalijaga, Yogyakarta

CONFERENCE PAPER • KICE 2026 • YOGYAKARTA, INDONESIA

Adaptive and Intelligent Technologies as New Frontiers in English Language Teaching

Abdul Gafur Marzuki[1]

[1]English Education Department, Tarbiyah and Teacher Training Faculty, Universitas Islam Negeri (UIN) Datokarama Palu, Indonesia

Email: gafurmarzuki@uindatokarama.ac.id

Proceedings of the Kalijaga International Conference on Education 2026

Abstract. This study investigated the role of adaptive and intelligent technologies as emerging frontiers in contemporary English Language Teaching, with particular attention to personalized learning, learner autonomy, instructional effectiveness, and contextual challenges in higher education. Employing a qualitative interpretive design, the research was conducted in a university setting involving English lecturers and undergraduate students who had direct experience with AI supported and adaptive learning platforms. Data were collected through semi structured interviews, classroom observations, and document analysis, and were examined using thematic analysis to identify recurring pedagogical patterns and experiential meanings. The findings indicated that adaptive and intelligent technologies enhanced differentiated instruction, immediate formative feedback, and self-regulated learning behaviors, leading to increased engagement and reading comprehension. However, the study also revealed infrastructural limitations, unequal digital access, varying levels of digital literacy, and ethical concerns related to data privacy and algorithmic transparency, which shaped the depth and sustainability of implementation. The discussion highlighted those intelligent technologies functioned most effectively when mediated by active lecturer support and supported by institutional readiness rather than operating as standalone solutions. The study therefore contributed theoretically by integrating perspectives from adaptive learning, sociocultural mediation, and self-regulated learning in ELT, and contributed practically by emphasizing the need for infrastructure development, professional training, and ethical governance. Overall, adaptive and intelligent technologies were positioned as transformative yet evolving pedagogical resources whose long term impact depended on continuous contextual and institutional support.

Keywords: Adaptive learning; artificial intelligence in education; English language teaching; self-regulated learning

INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of digital technology has significantly reshaped the landscape of English Language Teaching (ELT) across the globe. In recent years, adaptive and intelligent technologies, particularly those driven by artificial intelligence, learning analytics, and personalized learning systems, have emerged as transformative tools capable of redefining how language instruction is designed, delivered, and evaluated. Globally, higher education institutions are increasingly integrating intelligent tutoring systems, automated feedback mechanisms, and data driven instructional platforms to enhance learner engagement and achievement in English language learning [1], [2], [3]. This transformation reflects a broader shift toward learner centered education in which instruction is continuously adjusted to students' needs, abilities, and learning trajectories.

At the national and local levels, particularly in developing educational contexts such as Indonesia and Southeast Asia, the integration of adaptive technologies in ELT remains uneven. While digital infrastructure and policy initiatives supporting educational technology have expanded, many classrooms still rely on conventional teacher centered pedagogies that provide limited personalization and delayed feedback [4]. Such conditions create disparities between global technological progress and local instructional realities, especially in universities striving to prepare students for participation in an increasingly digital and multilingual world. Consequently, the exploration of adaptive and intelligent technologies in ELT is not only academically relevant but also practically necessary to support equitable and effective language learning [5], [6].

From a disciplinary perspective, adaptive and intelligent technologies intersect with key theoretical developments in applied linguistics, educational technology, and self regulated learning. Research indicates that AI supported learning environments can promote individualized pacing, immediate formative feedback, and enhanced learner autonomy, factors strongly associated with improved language proficiency

and motivation [7], [8]. Despite these promising findings, much of the existing literature has focused on technological capabilities rather than pedagogical integration, leaving unanswered questions about how intelligent systems can meaningfully align with curriculum design, teacher roles, and contextual learning cultures in ELT settings.

A significant research gap therefore lies in understanding how adaptive and intelligent technologies function within authentic educational environments, particularly in underrepresented regions and diverse sociocultural contexts. Previous studies have often been conducted in technologically advanced settings, limiting the generalizability of their findings to institutions with different infrastructural readiness, digital literacy levels, and pedagogical traditions. Moreover, ethical concerns, including data privacy, algorithmic transparency, and the evolving role of teachers, remain insufficiently explored in relation to language education [9], [10]. Addressing these gaps is essential for ensuring that technological innovation contributes to inclusive and responsible educational transformation rather than deepening existing inequalities.

The novelty of the present study lies in its integrated examination of adaptive and intelligent technologies as emerging frontiers in ELT, viewed simultaneously through pedagogical, technological, and contextual lenses. Rather than treating technology as a standalone solution, this research positions intelligent systems as components of a broader instructional ecosystem that involves teacher agency, learner autonomy, and institutional readiness. Conducting this investigation at the present time is particularly important given the accelerated digitalization of education following global disruptions such as the COVID 19 pandemic, which has permanently altered expectations regarding flexibility, accessibility, and technological competence in language learning environments.

In light of these considerations, this study seeks to examine how adaptive and intelligent technologies are conceptualized, implemented, and experienced within contemporary English language teaching contexts, as well as to identify the pedagogical opportunities, contextual challenges, and ethical implications that accompany their adoption. It further aims to analyze the extent to which such technologies contribute to personalized learning, learner autonomy, and instructional effectiveness in ELT, thereby providing insights that may inform educational practice, institutional policy, and future research in technology enhanced language education.

METHODS

This study employed a qualitative research design to explore how adaptive and intelligent technologies were conceptualized, implemented, and experienced in English Language Teaching contexts. A qualitative approach was selected because it enabled an in depth understanding of participants' perspectives, instructional practices, and contextual dynamics that could not be captured through quantitative measurement alone. The design followed an interpretive paradigm in which meaning was constructed from participants' experiences and interactions with technology in authentic educational settings [11]- [13]. The study focused on describing pedagogical processes, perceived benefits, contextual constraints, and ethical considerations surrounding the use of adaptive and intelligent technologies in ELT.

Research Context

The research was conducted in a higher education environment where digital learning initiatives had been gradually introduced to support English language instruction. The institutional setting reflected broader national efforts to integrate educational technology while still facing uneven infrastructure readiness and varying levels of digital literacy among lecturers and students. This context provided a relevant site for examining how global technological developments were interpreted and enacted within local pedagogical realities. Previous scholarship emphasized that contextual sensitivity was essential when investigating technology enhanced learning in developing regions [14], [15], and therefore the selected site offered meaningful insight into real classroom implementation rather than controlled experimental conditions. Experiences with educational online technologies in blended tertiary environments also provide relevant context for technology-mediated teacher-student interaction [12].

Participants

Participants consisted of English language lecturers and undergraduate students who had direct experience using adaptive or intelligent learning technologies in their courses. They were selected through purposive sampling to ensure that all participants possessed relevant knowledge and engagement with the phenomenon under investigation. Purposive sampling allowed the researcher to obtain rich and information dense data aligned with qualitative inquiry principles [16], [17], [18]. The diversity of participants in terms of teaching experience, technological familiarity, and learning backgrounds supported a comprehensive understanding of how adaptive technologies functioned pedagogically and socially within ELT classrooms.

Instruments

Data were collected using semi structured interviews, classroom observations, and document analysis. Semi structured interviews allowed participants to describe their experiences, perceptions, and challenges in their own words while still maintaining alignment with the research focus. Classroom observations provided direct evidence of instructional practices, interaction patterns, and actual technology use, thereby strengthening data credibility through methodological triangulation. Document analysis included course outlines, digital learning materials, and institutional policy guidelines related to educational technology integration. The use of multiple qualitative instruments enhanced trustworthiness and enabled deeper interpretation of the learning environment [19], [20].

Data Analysis

Data analysis followed a thematic analysis procedure in which interview transcripts, observation notes, and documents were systematically coded, categorized, and interpreted to identify recurring patterns of meaning. The analysis began with data familiarization, followed by open coding, theme development, and interpretive synthesis. This process aligned with established qualitative analytic frameworks that emphasized iterative comparison and reflexive interpretation [21], [22]. Credibility was strengthened through triangulation across data sources, peer debriefing, and careful documentation of analytic decisions. The findings were then interpreted in relation to theories of adaptive learning, learner autonomy, and technology enhanced pedagogy in order to generate contextually grounded conclusions about the role of intelligent technologies in ELT.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Result

The results revealed that the integration of adaptive and intelligent technologies in English Language Teaching was implemented in varied yet meaningful ways across the observed classrooms. Lecturers reported that intelligent platforms were primarily used to provide automated feedback, personalize reading materials, and monitor student progress in real time. Classroom observations confirmed that these technologies supported differentiated instruction, allowing students with different proficiency levels to engage with the same learning objectives through adjusted task difficulty. Students generally responded positively, noting that immediate feedback and flexible pacing helped them remain engaged throughout the learning process.

Interview data further indicated that lecturers perceived adaptive technology not as a replacement for teaching, but as a pedagogical support tool that strengthened formative assessment and instructional decision making. Several lecturers described how analytics dashboards helped them identify students who struggled with comprehension or vocabulary, enabling earlier intervention. This perception aligned with observation records showing targeted scaffolding during classroom interaction. The convergence between interview and observation data suggested that intelligent systems functioned most effectively when integrated with active teacher facilitation rather than used independently.

Students' experiences consistently reflected a noticeable increase in autonomy and the development of self-regulated learning behaviors throughout their engagement with adaptive reading platforms. Many participants described how the systems encouraged them to establish personal learning goals, revisit challenging tasks without external pressure, and continuously monitor their own level of comprehension during reading activities. This pattern of behavior aligned closely with core dimensions of self-regulated learning, particularly the interconnected processes of planning, monitoring, and evaluation that enable learners to manage their cognitive and motivational resources more independently. Students also reported feeling a stronger sense of ownership over their progress, as immediate system feedback allowed them to recognize misunderstandings, adjust strategies, and make informed decisions about subsequent learning steps in real time. The qualitative coding process further reinforced these perceptions by identifying learner autonomy, sustained motivation, and feedback immediacy as the three most frequently recurring experiential themes across the interview data, suggesting that adaptive technological environments not only supported academic comprehension but also fostered deeper metacognitive awareness and more resilient engagement in the language learning process.

Table 1. Summary of Emerging Themes from Student Interviews

Theme	Description	Frequency of Mention
Learner autonomy	Students controlled pace and task repetition	High
Immediate feedback	System responses supported comprehension repair	High
Learning motivation	Interactive features increased	Moderate

	engagement	
Technical difficulty	Connectivity and device issues disrupted learning	Moderate

Despite these positive outcomes, the data also revealed a range of contextual constraints that shaped the quality and consistency of implementation in meaningful ways. Unstable internet connectivity, unequal access to personal digital devices, and varying levels of students' digital literacy periodically disrupted learning continuity and reduced the effectiveness of adaptive instructional features. In several observed sessions, technical interruptions limited students' ability to complete tasks independently or receive real-time feedback, thereby weakening the intended personalization of the learning process. Lecturers consequently reported allocating additional instructional time to troubleshooting technical problems, guiding students in basic platform navigation, and adjusting lesson pacing to accommodate uneven access. These recurring adjustments not only reduced instructional efficiency but also highlighted the persistent disparity between the transformative promise of intelligent educational technologies and the material realities of classrooms in developing educational contexts, where infrastructural readiness and digital equity remained unevenly distributed.

Alongside these structural limitations, ethical awareness emerged as a significant analytical dimension within participants' experiences. While lecturers generally valued the diagnostic insights provided by learning analytics and automated assessment, several expressed concern regarding the protection of student data, the opacity of algorithmic decision-making, and the potential consequences of relying on system-generated judgments for academic evaluation. Students similarly conveyed uncertainty about how their learning data were collected, stored, or interpreted, indicating limited transparency in the technological ecosystem that mediated their learning. These concerns suggested that meaningful pedagogical innovation could not be separated from responsible governance and ethical literacy, and that the integration of adaptive and intelligent technologies required the parallel development of clear institutional policies, data protection frameworks, and critical awareness among educators and learners. In this sense, ethical consideration became not merely a regulatory requirement but an integral component of sustainable and human-centered technology-enhanced language education.

Table 2. Observed Pedagogical Functions of Adaptive Technologies

Function	Classroom Evidence	Pedagogical Impact
Automated feedback	Instant correction in reading tasks	Faster comprehension improvement
Difficulty adjustment	Text level adapted to performance	Inclusive participation
Learning analytics	Dashboard monitoring by lecturers	Early academic intervention
Independent practice	Self paced digital exercises	Stronger learner autonomy

Cross data analysis demonstrated that meaningful learning improvement occurred when three conditions were simultaneously present: active lecturer mediation, stable technological access, and student readiness for independent learning. When one of these elements was weak, the effectiveness of adaptive technology declined. This pattern reinforced the interpretation that intelligent systems operated as part of a broader instructional ecosystem rather than as isolated solutions. It also suggested that sustainable learning gains depended on the dynamic interaction between human pedagogy and technological affordances rather than on technological sophistication alone. Such interdependence highlighted the necessity of coordinated institutional planning that aligned instructional practice, infrastructure development, and learner preparation.

From an interpretive perspective, the results indicated that adaptive and intelligent technologies contributed to gradual pedagogical transformation rather than immediate disruption. Teaching practices shifted toward data informed facilitation, while students developed stronger ownership of their learning processes. However, structural limitations and ethical uncertainties continued to shape the depth of this transformation. The study therefore positioned intelligent ELT not as a finished innovation but as an evolving educational practice requiring sustained institutional support. This gradual shift reflected broader patterns of educational change in which innovation became embedded through continuous reflection and adaptation rather than rapid replacement of existing methods. Consequently, long term effectiveness depended on the capacity of institutions and educators to cultivate reflective, ethically grounded, and context responsive technology integration.

Overall, the analysis demonstrated that adaptive and intelligent technologies enhanced personalization, autonomy, and formative feedback in English language learning, while simultaneously revealing infrastructural, pedagogical, and ethical challenges that must be addressed for sustainable implementation. These results provided empirically grounded insight into how intelligent ELT functioned within real higher education contexts and offered direction for future pedagogical design, institutional planning, and policy development in technology enhanced language education. In addition, the results underscored the importance of viewing technological innovation as a continuous developmental process shaped by contextual realities rather than as a universal solution. Such an understanding opened pathways for more

inclusive, responsible, and pedagogically meaningful integration of intelligent technologies in diverse higher education environments.

Discussion

The present study aimed to examine how adaptive and intelligent technologies were conceptualized, implemented, and experienced within contemporary English Language Teaching, particularly in relation to personalized learning, learner autonomy, and instructional effectiveness. The results demonstrated that these technologies supported differentiated instruction, immediate feedback, and self-regulated learning behaviors, thereby aligning closely with the original research objectives. This outcome reinforced the growing scholarly consensus that AI-supported learning environments can enhance engagement and achievement when pedagogically integrated rather than technologically imposed [23], [24], [25]. In this sense, the study confirmed that intelligent technologies functioned most productively as instructional mediators that extended, rather than replaced, the role of the lecturer.

When compared with prior research, the results showed both convergence and contextual distinction. Similar to earlier studies highlighting personalization and formative feedback as key affordances of AI in education [26], [27], the present results indicated improved learner motivation, autonomy, and comprehension monitoring. However, unlike research conducted in technologically advanced environments, this study revealed persistent infrastructural instability, unequal device access, and uneven digital literacy as influential contextual variables. These differences suggested that the pedagogical impact of intelligent ELT remained deeply shaped by socio-technical conditions, supporting arguments that educational technology outcomes cannot be separated from institutional and cultural context [28], [29].

Several interacting factors appeared to explain why adaptive technologies produced meaningful yet uneven learning transformation. Active lecturer mediation emerged as a decisive variable, confirming sociocultural perspectives that technology becomes educationally effective only through guided human interaction. At the same time, student readiness for independent learning influenced how fully adaptive feedback translated into self-regulated behavior. Technical reliability also played a mediating role, as interruptions reduced continuity of engagement. These layered influences indicated that intelligent ELT should be understood as an ecosystem shaped by pedagogy, infrastructure, and learner agency rather than as a single technological intervention.

The study also identified ethical awareness as an emerging dimension of technology integration. Concerns related to data privacy, algorithmic transparency, and automated judgment echoed broader debates in AI in education literature [30]. Although participants valued analytics-driven feedback, uncertainty about data governance suggested the need for clearer institutional regulation and ethical literacy. This finding extended previous scholarship by illustrating how ethical perception developed not only at policy level but also within everyday classroom experience, positioning ethics as a practical pedagogical concern rather than an abstract principle.

Despite its contributions, the study contained limitations that should be acknowledged. The qualitative design enabled deep contextual interpretation but limited statistical generalization across institutions or regions. Participant selection through purposive sampling ensured relevance yet may have excluded perspectives from less technologically engaged stakeholders. In addition, the rapidly evolving nature of AI tools meant that observed practices represented a specific temporal moment within ongoing digital transformation. Recognizing these constraints was essential for situating the results as exploratory yet theoretically meaningful rather than universally conclusive.

Taken together, the discussion suggested important theoretical and practical implications. Theoretically, the study reinforced connections among adaptive learning, self-regulated learning, and sociocultural mediation in ELT, contributing to a more integrated understanding of intelligent pedagogy. Practically, the results highlighted the necessity of institutional infrastructure, lecturer professional development, and ethical governance to sustain meaningful technology adoption. Future research should therefore investigate longitudinal learning outcomes, cross-institutional comparison, and student data ethics in AI-supported language education. Through these contributions, the study advanced broader understanding of how adaptive and intelligent technologies could shape more inclusive, responsive, and human-centered futures for English Language Teaching.

CONCLUSION

This study concluded that adaptive and intelligent technologies functioned as meaningful pedagogical enablers in contemporary English Language Teaching by supporting personalized learning pathways, strengthening learner autonomy, and enhancing formative instructional feedback within authentic higher education contexts. The results answered the central research concerns by demonstrating that these

technologies were not merely technical innovations but socially mediated learning tools whose effectiveness depended on active lecturer facilitation, student readiness for self regulated learning, and the stability of technological infrastructure. While participants experienced improved engagement, comprehension monitoring, and flexible learning pace, the study also revealed persistent contextual and ethical challenges, including unequal digital access, varying levels of digital literacy, and uncertainty surrounding data privacy and algorithmic transparency. These conditions explained why the pedagogical transformation generated by intelligent systems appeared gradual rather than disruptive, confirming that sustainable technology integration required institutional support, professional development, and clear governance frameworks. Theoretically, the research strengthened the linkage between adaptive learning environments, self regulated learning processes, and sociocultural mediation in ELT, thereby contributing to a more holistic understanding of intelligent pedagogy. Practically, the study highlighted the necessity of aligning technological innovation with contextual realities to ensure inclusive and responsible implementation. Overall, the research affirmed that adaptive and intelligent technologies represented an emerging yet evolving frontier in English Language Teaching whose long term impact would depend on continuous pedagogical reflection, ethical awareness, and systemic educational commitment.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Ali, “AI and intelligent tutoring systems: A new paradigm for student-centered learning,” AI EDIFY Journal, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 1–11, 2024.

[2] C. C. Lin, A. Y. Huang, and O. H. Lu, “Artificial intelligence in intelligent tutoring systems toward sustainable education: A systematic review,” Smart Learning Environments, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 41, 2023.

[3] M. A. M. Loor, D. M. A. Solorzano, A. Katherine, and V. Moreira, “Integration of artificial intelligence in English teaching,” Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 289, p. 125834, 2024.

[4] S. Mhlongo, K. Mbatha, B. Ramatsetse, and R. Dlamini, “Challenges, opportunities, and prospects of adopting and using smart digital technologies in learning environments: An iterative review,” Heliyon, vol. 9, no. 6, 2023.

[5] M. Anis, “Leveraging artificial intelligence for inclusive English language teaching: Strategies and implications for learner diversity,” Journal of Multidisciplinary Educational Research, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 54–70, 2023.

[6] M. A. M. Loor, D. M. A. Solorzano, A. Katherine, and V. Moreira, “Integration of artificial intelligence in English teaching,” Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 289, p. 125834, 2024.

[7] O. O. Jegede, “Artificial intelligence and English language learning: Exploring the roles of AI-driven tools in personalizing learning and providing instant feedback,” Universal Library of Languages and Literatures, vol. 1, no. 2, 2024.

[8] D. M. A. S. K. Khasawneh, “Improving the learning of language proficiency at tertiary education level through AI-driven assessment models and automated feedback systems,” Migration Letters, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 712–726, 2024.

[9] J. M. Peña, O. B. Moreno, Á. L. O. Herrera, and T. E. B. Moreno, “Balancing privacy and ethics in the use of artificial intelligence in education,” Sapiens International Multidisciplinary Journal, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 148–170, 2024.

[10] W. N. Khan, “Ethical challenges of AI in education: Balancing innovation with data privacy,” AI EDIFY Journal, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2024.

[11] E. Heinrich, “Revolutionising educational technology: The imperative for authentic qualitative research,” Social Sciences & Humanities Open, vol. 10, p. 101073, 2024.

[12] K. Tuapawa, “Interpreting experiences of students using educational online technologies to interact with teachers in blended tertiary environments: A phenomenological study,” Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, vol. 33, no. 1, 2017.

[13] Z. Bilki, M. Satar, and M. Sak, “Critical digital literacy in virtual exchange for ELT teacher education: An interpretivist methodology,” ReCALL, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 58–73, 2023.

[14] M. Bower and P. Vlachopoulos, “A critical analysis of technology-enhanced learning design frameworks,” British Journal of Educational Technology, vol. 49, no. 6, pp. 981–997, 2018.

[15] L. Major, G. A. Francis, and M. Tsapali, “The effectiveness of technology-supported personalised learning in low- and middle-income countries: A meta-analysis,” British Journal of Educational Technology, vol. 52, no. 5, pp. 1935–1964, 2021.

[16] C. O. Alordiah and J. Oji, “Theoretical sampling strategies in qualitative interviews: Enhancing data richness and theoretical saturation,” NIU Journal of Social Sciences, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 181–191, 2024.

[17] S. Campbell et al., “Purposive sampling: Complex or simple? Research case examples,” Journal of Research in Nursing, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 652–661, 2020.

[18] A. Moser and I. Korstjens, “Series: Practical guidance to qualitative research. Part 3: Sampling, data collection and analysis,” European Journal of General Practice, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 9–18, 2018.

[19] S. K. Ahmed, “The pillars of trustworthiness in qualitative research,” Journal of Medicine, Surgery, and Public Health, vol. 2, p. 100051, 2024.

[20] T. C. Bang, “Ensuring credibility and trustworthiness in qualitative inquiries,” in Applied Linguistics and Language Education Research Methods: Fundamentals and Innovations. Hershey, PA: IGI Global, 2024, pp. 70–85.

[21] V. Braun and V. Clarke, “Toward good practice in thematic analysis: Avoiding common problems and becoming a knowing researcher,” International Journal of Transgender Health, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 1–6, 2023.

[22] V. Braun and V. Clarke, “Conceptual and design thinking for thematic analysis,” Qualitative Psychology, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 3, 2022.

[23] A. Alam and A. Mohanty, “Educational technology: Exploring the convergence of technology and pedagogy through mobility, interactivity, AI, and learning tools,” Cogent Engineering, vol. 10, no. 2, p. 2283282, 2023.

[24] N. Lang-Raad, The AI Assist: Strategies for Integrating AI Into the Very Human Act of Teaching. Alexandria, VA: ASCD, 2024.

[25] F. Ullaha, B. Haydar, and M. F. Arslan, “Bridging theory and practice: AI applications in learning and teaching in Pakistan’s education system,” Jahan-e-Tahqeeq, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 180–204, 2024.

[26] D. H. Chang, M. P. C. Lin, S. Hajian, and Q. Q. Wang, “Educational design principles of using AI chatbot that supports self-regulated learning in education: Goal setting, feedback, and personalization,” Sustainability, vol. 15, no. 17, p. 12921, 2023.

[27] T. K. Vashishth et al., “AI-driven learning analytics for personalized feedback and assessment in higher education,” in Using Traditional Design Methods to Enhance AI-Driven Decision Making. Hershey, PA: IGI Global, 2024, pp. 206–230.

[28] Y. Zhang and C. Dong, “Exploring the digital transformation of generative AI-assisted foreign language education: A socio-technical systems perspective based on mixed methods,” Systems, vol. 12, no. 11, p. 462, 2024.

[29] I. B. P. Puja, “The mediating role of online learning motivation in the influence of service quality, social media usage, and pedagogical teaching competence on student learning satisfaction,” Cogent Social Sciences, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 2396934, 2024.

[30] B. Memarian and T. Doleck, “Fairness, accountability, transparency, and ethics in artificial intelligence and higher education: A systematic review,” Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, vol. 5, p. 100152, 2023.